IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE
Writ Petition No. 2145 of 2007.

[Deenbamdhu Education Society, Wardha]
Vs.
[The State of Maharashtra and Ors.]

.....

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, appearances, Court's orders or directions and Register's orders.	Court's or Judge's Orders

.....

Smt. S. W. Deshpande Advocate for Petitioner.
Shri Fulzale AGP AGP for R-1 to 3.
Shri M. R. Rajgure Advocate for R, No.4.
Shri S. R. Deshpande Advocate for RNo.5.
CORAM : A. H. JOSHI &
R. C. CHAVAN, JJ.
DATED : 09/08/2007.

1. Normally, in proceedings, involving hearing and order, the order, which is differing from one appealed against, has to consist of detailed reasons. However, in the present case, we find that while the Dy. Director of Education has passed the impugned order, the detailed reasons are not recorded. We do not approve of such unreasoned orders, however, on the facts of the case, we find that no grounds for interference are made out,

moreover, the order otherwise is correct. Reasons, on which Education Officer's order was based, are wholly misconceived and said order was un-sustainable.

2. On facts, therefore, present petition does not merit interference. It is dismissed.

JUDGE JUDGE

sbm